

Curing the infrared problem in nonrelativistic QED

Daniela Cadamuro

Leipzig

joint work with Wojciech Dybalski

York

1 July 2019

Problem:

System of nonrelativistic QED:

one “slow” spinless electron interacting with a cloud of photons

- ▶ Algebra of observables of the system electron + photons
- ▶ Coherent states $\omega_{\mathbf{P}}$ (ground states of an Hamiltonian $H_{\mathbf{P}}$, \mathbf{P} a total momentum of the system)
⇒ they induce inequivalent representations of the algebra

problem of velocity superselection

Consequences:

- ▶ states of single electrons with different momenta \mathbf{P} cannot be coherently superimposed
- ▶ electron is an infraparticle (no definite mass)
- ▶ scattering theory of many electrons seems problematic

The model

- ▶ Hamiltonian:

$$H = \frac{1}{2}(-i\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} + \tilde{\alpha}^{1/2}\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}))^2 + H_{\text{photon}}$$

selfadjoint on dense domain in $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{electron}} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\text{photon}}$,
 \mathbf{A} in Coulomb gauge with UV cutoff.

- ▶ total momentum $\mathbf{P} := -i\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{P}_{\text{photon}}$, $[H, \mathbf{P}] = 0$

$$\Rightarrow H = \Pi^* \left(\int^{\oplus} H_{\mathbf{P}} d^3\mathbf{P} \right) \Pi, \quad \Pi \text{ a unitary identification}$$

Ground states of the Hamiltonians $H_{\mathbf{P}}$

- ▶ Absence of ground states:
 - ▶ $H_{\mathbf{P}}$ do not have ground states (eigenvectors) for $\mathbf{P} \neq 0$ at least for small $\tilde{\alpha}$ and for $\mathbf{P} \in S = \{\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |\mathbf{P}| < \frac{1}{3}\}$.
 - ▶ This is a feature of the infraparticle problem
- ▶ Introduce an infrared cutoff:

$$H_{\mathbf{P},\sigma} := \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{P}_{\text{photon}} + \tilde{\alpha}^{1/2} \mathbf{A}_{[\sigma,\kappa]}(0))^2 + H_{\text{photon}}$$

selfadjoint on dense domain in Fock space \mathcal{F} over $L_{\text{tr}}^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^3)$;
denote creators/annihilators as $a_{\lambda}^*(\mathbf{k})$, $a_{\lambda}(\mathbf{k})$.

$$A_{[\sigma,\kappa]}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\lambda=\pm} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{\sqrt{|\mathbf{k}|}} \chi_{[\sigma,\kappa]}(|\mathbf{k}|) \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{k}) (e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} a_{\lambda}^*(\mathbf{k}) + e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} a_{\lambda}(\mathbf{k}))$$

(κ : UV cutoff, σ : IR cutoff)

Ground states with IR cutoff

- ▶ **Fact:** For any $\sigma > 0$, the operator $H_{\mathbf{P},\sigma}$ has a ground states (eigenvector) $\Psi_{\mathbf{P},\sigma} \in \mathcal{F}$ with isolated eigenvalues $E_{\mathbf{P},\sigma}$.
 - ▶ $\Psi_{\mathbf{P},\sigma}$ tend weakly to zero as $\sigma \rightarrow 0$.
- ▶ Hence ground states exist at fixed cutoff.
- ▶ However, we will need to remove the cutoff to describe the physical system.
- ▶ This will be done by considering suitable states on a CCR algebra.

Velocity superselection

Algebra of observables of the system “electron + photon cloud”:

- ▶ Weyl (CCR) algebra \mathfrak{A} generated (up to closure in C^* -norm) by $W(\mathbf{f})$, $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{L} := \bigcup_{\epsilon > 0} L_{\text{tr}, \epsilon}^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^3)$,
symplectic form $\sigma(\cdot, \cdot) := \text{Im}\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.
- ▶ Vacuum representation: $\pi_{\text{vac}}(W(\mathbf{f})) = e^{a^*(\mathbf{f}) - a(\mathbf{f})}$

State: Given any $A \in \mathfrak{A}$, define

$$\omega_{\mathbf{P}}(A) := \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \langle \Psi_{\mathbf{P}, \sigma}, \pi_{\text{vac}}(A) \Psi_{\mathbf{P}, \sigma} \rangle$$

- ▶ state on \mathfrak{A} , describes plane-wave configurations of the electron with velocity \mathbf{P}

Representations: States $\omega_{\mathbf{P}}$ have irreducible GNS representations $\pi_{\mathbf{P}}$.

- ▶ Fact: $\pi_{\mathbf{P}} \not\cong \pi_{\mathbf{P}'}$ for any $\mathbf{P} \neq \mathbf{P}'$ “velocity superselection”

Cause of the superselection problem

Analyze the phenomenon closely:

- ▶ introduce auxiliary vectors $\Phi_{\mathbf{P},\sigma} = W(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{P},\sigma})\Psi_{\mathbf{P},\sigma}$, where

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{P},\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) := \tilde{\alpha}^{1/2} P_{\text{tr}} \frac{\chi_{[\sigma,\kappa]}(|\mathbf{k}|)}{|\mathbf{k}|^{3/2}} \frac{\nabla E_{\mathbf{P},\sigma}}{1 - \hat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \nabla E_{\mathbf{P},\sigma}}.$$

Fact: $\Phi_{\mathbf{P}} := \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \Phi_{\mathbf{P},\sigma}$ exists in norm for suitable choice of the phases of $\Psi_{\mathbf{P},\sigma}$.

$$\omega_{\mathbf{P}}(W(\mathbf{f})) = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \langle \Phi_{\mathbf{P},\sigma}, \pi_{\text{vac}} \left(\underbrace{W(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{P},\sigma})W(\mathbf{f})W(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{P},\sigma})^*}_{:=\alpha_{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{P},\sigma}}(W(\mathbf{f}))} \right) \Phi_{\mathbf{P},\sigma} \rangle$$

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{P},\sigma}}(W(\mathbf{f})) = e^{-2i \text{Im} \langle \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{P},\sigma}, \mathbf{f} \rangle} W(\mathbf{f})$$

- ▶ For $\sigma > 0$, we have $\pi_{\mathbf{P},\sigma} \simeq \pi_{\text{vac}}$, but $\pi_{\mathbf{P}} \not\simeq \pi_{\text{vac}}$

A possible solution: regularize the map $\alpha_{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{P},\sigma}} \Rightarrow$ **Infravacuum state**

Infravacuum state

Walter Kunhardt: DHR theory for the free massless scalar field

- ▶ automorphisms γ of the algebra of the free massless scalar field: similar structure to $\alpha_{v_{\mathcal{P}}}$
- ▶ γ have poor localization property in front of the vacuum:

$$\pi_{\text{vac}} \circ \gamma|_{\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O})} \not\cong \pi_{\text{vac}}|_{\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O})}, \quad \pi_{\text{vac}} \circ \gamma|_{\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{C})} \not\cong \pi_{\text{vac}}|_{\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{C})}$$

(\mathcal{O} a double cone, \mathcal{C} a spacelike cone)

- ▶ improve the localization property: infravacuum state

$$\omega_T(W(f)) = e^{-\frac{1}{4}\|Tf\|^2}$$

- ▶ Fact: $\pi_T \circ \gamma|_{\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{C})} \simeq \pi_T|_{\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{C})}$
- ▶ automorphism of the algebra α_T : $\alpha_T(W(f)) = W(Tf)$

The symplectic map T

- ▶ Recall $\mathcal{L} := \bigcup_{\epsilon>0} L_{\text{tr},\epsilon}^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^3)$
- ▶ $T : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, $T = T_1 \frac{1+J}{2} + T_2 \frac{1-J}{2}$

$$T_1 := \mathbf{1} + \text{s-} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - 1) \mathbf{Q}_i, \quad T_2 := \mathbf{1} + \text{s-} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{b_i} - 1\right) \mathbf{Q}_i$$

- ▶ \mathbf{Q}_i orthogonal projectors on $L_{\text{tr}}^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^3)$, $\sum_i \mathbf{Q}_i = 1$
 - ▶ i large means “low energy”
 - ▶ b_i decay with i large
- ▶ T modify the low energy behaviour of wave functions in \mathcal{L} , and in particular of $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{P},\sigma}$, in such a way that $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} T \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{P},\sigma} \in L_{\text{tr}}^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^3)$.

Infravacuum state

- ▶ Idea: Instead of $\omega_{\mathbf{P}}$, consider a modified state $\omega_{\mathbf{P},T}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_{\mathbf{P},T}(A) &:= \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \langle \Phi_{\mathbf{P},\sigma}, \pi_{\text{vac}}(\alpha_T(\alpha_{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{P},\sigma}}(A))) \Phi_{\mathbf{P},\sigma} \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \langle \Phi_{\mathbf{P},\sigma}, \pi_{\text{vac}}(\alpha_T(W(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{P},\sigma})AW(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{P},\sigma})^*)) \Phi_{\mathbf{P},\sigma} \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \langle \Phi_{\mathbf{P},\sigma}, \pi_{\text{vac}}(W(T\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{P},\sigma})\alpha_T(A)W(T\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{P},\sigma})^*) \Phi_{\mathbf{P},\sigma} \rangle\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Fact: $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} T\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{P},\sigma} := T\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{P}} \in L_{\text{tr}}^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^3)$
- ▶ **Result:** $\pi_{\mathbf{P},T} \simeq \pi_{\mathbf{P}',T}$ for any $\mathbf{P} \neq \mathbf{P}'$.

Restriction to the light cone

Alternative approach:

- ▶ Arbitrariness in the choice of the algebra \mathfrak{A} as long as it acts irreducibly on \mathcal{F} and the states ω_P are well-defined.
- ▶ choose \mathfrak{A} to be the algebra of observables of the free electromagnetic field \rightarrow local and relativistic

$$\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O}) := C^*\{e^{i(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{f}_e) + \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{f}_b))} \mid \text{supp } \mathbf{f}_e, \text{supp } \mathbf{f}_b \subset \mathcal{O}, \mathbf{f}_{e,b} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{R}^3)\}$$

- ▶ Result: if $\mathfrak{A} := \overline{\bigcup_{\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^4} \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O})}$ (quasi-local algebra), then $\pi_P \neq \pi_{P'}$, but with $\mathfrak{A}(V_+) := \overline{\bigcup_{\mathcal{O} \subset V_+} \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O})}$,

$$\pi_P|_{\mathfrak{A}(V_+)} \simeq \pi_{P'}|_{\mathfrak{A}(V_+)} \text{ for any } P, P' \in S$$

(V_+ : forward light cone)

Restriction to the light cone

Recall that

$$\omega_{\mathbf{P}}(A) = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \langle \Phi_{\mathbf{P},\sigma}, \pi_{\text{vac}}(W(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{P},\sigma})AW(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{P},\sigma})^*)\Phi_{\mathbf{P},\sigma} \rangle$$

Idea of proof:

- ▶ Use Huygens principle: $\mathfrak{A}(V_-) \subset \mathfrak{A}(V_+)$
- ▶ Approximate $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{P},\sigma}$ with functions in the symplectic space of the backward light cone V_- .
- ▶ Then $W(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{P},\sigma})$ and $A \in \mathfrak{A}(V_+)$ approximately commute, hence $\omega_{\mathbf{P}}$ lives in the vacuum representation.
- ▶ Hence $\pi_{\mathbf{P}}|_{\mathfrak{A}(V_+)} \simeq \pi_{\text{vac}} \simeq \pi_{\mathbf{P}'}|_{\mathfrak{A}(V_+)}$ for any $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}' \in S$.

Restriction to the light cone

Local approximation of $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{P}}$:

- ▶ The symplectic space for a double cone $O_r + \tau e_0$ is:

$$e^{i|\mathbf{k}|\tau} \mathcal{L}_{BJ}(O_r) := e^{i|\mathbf{k}|\tau} \overline{(1+J)|\mathbf{k}|^{-1/2}(i\mathbf{k} \times \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(O_r; \mathbb{R}^3))} + (1-J)|\mathbf{k}|^{1/2} P_{\text{tr}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(O_r; \mathbb{R}^3)$$

- ▶ Local approximant: Let $g : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be smooth and compactly supported, $\tilde{g}(\mathbf{0}) = 1$, consider

$$\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{k}) := \tilde{\alpha}^{1/2} P_{\text{tr}} \frac{\tilde{g}(\mathbf{k}) e^{-iu|\mathbf{k}|} \nabla E_{\mathbf{P}}}{|\mathbf{k}|^{3/2} (1 - \nabla E_{\mathbf{P}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}})}$$

- ▶ Hence, local approximant for $W(-i\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{P}})$ is

$$W(-i\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{P},T}) = \exp \left(-i\tilde{\alpha}^{1/2} \int_0^T dt \int_t^T d\tau \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \nabla E_{\mathbf{P}} \cdot \mathbf{E}(g)(-u-\tau, -\nabla E_{\mathbf{P}} t) \right)$$

Conclusions and Outlook

- ▶ We have investigated the problem of velocity superselection in a non-relativistic QED model.
- ▶ Our resolution of this problem rely on two possible methods:
 - ▶ infravacuum state
 - ▶ restriction of the algebra to the forward light-cone
- ▶ It would be interesting to investigate the problem of scattering theory of many electrons in these two approaches.
- ▶ A method like Haag-Ruelle scattering theory could be applied:
 - ▶ In the first approach one needs to make sense of Haag-Ruelle scattering theory with respect to the infravacuum background state
 - ▶ In the second approach only **either** an outgoing **or** an incoming particle is available at the same time