Thermal states of deformed quantum field theories

Jan Schlemmer

(University Vienna / HIM Bonn)

31st LQP-Workshop Leipzig, November 23, 2012

Joint work (in progress) with A. Huber and G. Lechner

Motivation from physics Motivation from the deformation programme

Motivation from physics

- High energy physics: Focus often on aspects of interaction tested by scattering experiments (few particles ⇒ S-matrix)
- Thermal behavior of system described by given theory also of practical and conceptual interest:
 - Description of early universe, heavy ion collisions (quark-gluon plasma) etc.
 - Thermal equilibrium states "preferred by physics" (return to equilibrium).
 - Requirement of decent thermodynamical behavior: Selection criterion for theories.
- Deformed QFTs: Examples of interacting theories

 \Rightarrow non-pert. relativistic thermodyn. beyond the free fields

Motivation from physics Motivation from the deformation programme

Motivation from the deformation programme Obtaining QFTs by algebraic methods

- Starting with [Lechner 06]: Construction of interacting quantum fields theories by algebraic methods via (auxiliary) wedge local nets of algebras.
 In 2d: Can proceed to local algebras.
- Wedge algebras obtained via "deformation" from given (usually free) QFT.
- Direct interpretation for (special) wedge algebras in non-commutative models.
- In more than 2 dimensions: Step to local algebras not (yet?) useful.

Motivation from physics Motivation from the deformation programme

Motivation from the deformation programme Deformations and the spectrum condition

- Family of deformations leading to wedge-local theories still limited (e.g. for massive theories: no momentum transfer in scattering).
- Important for wedge locality in these constructions: Spectrum condition.
- Thermal representation would be example where wedge-locality holds in situation without spectrum condition.

Motivation from physics Motivation from the deformation programme



2 KMS condition

- Twisted KMS condition
- KMS functionals
- KMS functionals

3 Positivity

- Subalgebras with fixed deformation matrix
- A special functional
- Deformation arguments
- Numerics



Algebras

Undeformed object: Free scalar field

Aim: Keep algebra as small as possible (still large!) Work with *-algebra generated by deformed fields $\phi_{R,Q}$ obtained by deforming free field (in vacuum representation).

- Undeformed field: Free scalar field φ of mass m on 3+1 dimensional Minkowski spacetime.
- Acting on Fock-space $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C} \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n$, $\mathcal{H}_1 = L^2(\mathbb{R}^4, d\mu_m)$.
- $\varphi = a^{\dagger}(Ef) + a(E\overline{f}), (Ef)(\mathbf{p}) := \hat{f}(\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2}, \mathbf{p})$
- Unitary, positive energy repr. U of Poincaré group \mathcal{P}^{\uparrow} on \mathcal{H} (obtained as $\Gamma(U_1)$ from representation on \mathcal{H}_1).
- Commutator: [φ(f), φ(g)] = C(f,g) · 1; Fourier-transform of (distributional) kernel of C: Ĉ.

Algebras

Input of deformations

- Class of deformations: Multiplicative deformation of free theories as described in [Lechner 11].
- Deformations parametrized by
 - Bounded analytic function φ on upper half-plane satisfying $\overline{\varphi(t)} = \varphi(t)^{-1} = \varphi(-t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
 - Enters deformation via $R \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ s.t. $R(t)^2 = \varphi(t)$, $\overline{R(t)} = R(t)^{-1} = R(-t)$.
 - Special case: $R(t) = e^{it}$; choice for some parts of talk (simplifications in formulas).
 - Lorentz-antisymmetric deformation matrix

$$Q_0 = egin{pmatrix} 0 & \kappa & 0 & 0 \ \kappa & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda \ 0 & 0 & -\lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Algebras

Deformed fields

• Using R define unitaries $T_R(x)$ by

$$[T_R(x)\Psi]_n(p_1,\ldots,p_n):=\prod_{k=1}^n R(x\cdot p_k)\Psi_n(p_1,\ldots,p_n)$$

• Using them define deformed field by

$$\begin{aligned} a_{R,Q}(p) &:= a(p) T_R(Qp) & a_{R,Q}^{\dagger}(p) := a_{R,Q}(p)^{\dagger} \\ \phi_{R,Q}(f) &= a_{R,Q}^{\dagger}(Ef) + a_{R,Q}(E\overline{f}) \end{aligned}$$

Algebras

Properties of generators

We have

$$U(\Lambda, a)\phi_{R,Q}(f)U(\Lambda, a)^{-1} = \phi_{R,\Lambda Q\Lambda^{-1}}(f(\Lambda^{-1} \cdot -a))$$

• For the product of two (Fourier transformed) fields (formally):

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\phi}_{R,Q}(p)\hat{\phi}_{R,Q'}(p') - R(p \cdot Qp')R(p \cdot Q'p')\hat{\phi}_{R,Q'}(p')\hat{\phi}_{R,Q}(p) \\ = T_R(Qp)T_R(-Q'p)\hat{C}(p,p') \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$$
(1)

• $\phi_{R,Q_0}(f)$ with supp $f \subset W_R$ is localized in the right-wedge $W_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid x^1 > |x^0|\}.$

Algebras

The *-algebra

• Localization and covariance properties of $\phi_{R,Q}$ strongly suggest:

$$\mathcal{A}(W = \Lambda W_R + x) := \{A \mid A \text{ pol. in } \phi_{\Lambda Q_0 \Lambda^{-1}}(f), \operatorname{supp} f \subset W\}$$

- (Some) known results
 - This gives an isotonous, Poincaré covariant wedge-local net of * - algebras [Lechner 11].
 - Different choices of roots R of the given function φ result in equivalent nets. [Lechner/Tanimoto/S 12].

Twisted KMS condition KMS functionals KMS functionals

Reduction of problem and crossed products

Now: Determine KMS-states ω_{β} on \mathcal{A} , $R(t) = e^{it}$, $\phi_{Q} := \phi_{R,Q}$ • Can reduce monomial $A = \hat{\phi}_{Q_1}(p_1) \cdots \hat{\phi}_{Q_n}(p_n)$ to

$$\prod_{1\leq l< r\leq n} e^{ip_l \cdot Qp_r} \hat{\phi}(p_1) \cdots \hat{\phi}(p_n) U(Q_1p_1 + \ldots + Q_np_n)$$

⇒ State ω determined by $\omega_x(B) := \omega(BU(x))$, B a monomial in free (Fourier-transformed) fields.

• ω_x has to satisfy *twisted* KMS condition [Buchholz/Longo 99]:

$$\omega_{x}(A\alpha_{(t+i\beta)e}B) = \omega_{x}((\alpha_{te}B)\alpha_{x}A)$$

 $\alpha_x(A) := U(x)AU(x)^{-1}$, *e* a timelike unit vector.

 In C*-algebraic setting: Can realize deformed algebra as multiplier algebra of crossed product A ⋊_α ℝ⁴, reduction to functionals ω_x and twisted KMS-condition follows in general.

Twisted KMS condition KMS functionals KMS functionals

Explicit form of KMS functionals

- Using (1) and the same technique as for free fields, a recursion relation for ω_x can be obtained.
- From this: All odd *n*-point functions vanish.
- Even *n*-point functions given by

$$\omega_{\beta}(\hat{\phi}_{Q_{1}}(p_{1})\cdots\hat{\phi}_{Q_{n}}(p_{2n}) = w(-x)\prod_{1\leq l < r \leq n} e^{ip_{l} \cdot Qp_{r}} \times \dots$$
$$\dots \times \sum_{\mathsf{Pair.(l,r)}} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\hat{C}(p_{l_{k}}, p_{r_{k}})}{1 - \exp(p_{l_{k}}(\beta e + ix))}$$

 $x := \sum_{j=1}^n Q_j p_j.$

Twisted KMS condition KMS functionals KMS functionals

KMS functionals: Remarks

- Appearance of function *w* makes KMS functionals highly non-unique.
- They are invariant under translations and rotation
- With w(0) = 1 normalization and reality ($\omega_{\beta}(A^*) = \overline{\omega(A)}$) also hold.

But what about positivity?

Subalgebras with fixed deformation matrix A special functional Deformation arguments Numerics

Subalgebras with fixed deformation matrix

- Polynomials in deformed fields with same deformation matrix *Q* form subalgebra *A*_Q.
- *A_Q* invariant under translations.
 ⇒ Restr. of ω_β to *A_Q* gives KMS state on *A_Q*.
- Function w and additional term in Bose-factor disappear (x = 0).
- Remaining functionals still differ from undeformed case (by phase factors) but positivity can be shown.

Subalgebras with fixed deformation matrix A special functional Deformation arguments Numerics

A special functional

- "Traditional" method to obtain KMS states: Put system in box $\Lambda \Rightarrow$ Gibbs states $\omega_{\beta,\Lambda} = \frac{1}{Z} \text{Tr} \left(e^{-\beta H_{\Lambda}} \cdot \right)$ \Rightarrow Obtain KMS states as $\lim_{\Lambda \to \mathbb{R}^3} \omega_{\beta,\Lambda}$.
- U ⇒ H identical for deformed and undeformed theory
 ⇒ Gibbs states also agree ⇒ State as in textbook statistical mechanics of free Bose gas, but consider expectation values of different operators A_Q.
- After limit: KMS functional w. non-continuous function w:

$$w(t) = egin{cases} 1 & t = 0 \ 0 & ext{else} \end{cases}$$

- For this functional: Many contractions vanish; only obviously positive terms remain ⇒ KMS *state*.
- State leads to representation on non-separable Hilbert-space.

Subalgebras with fixed deformation matrix A special functional **Deformation arguments** Numerics

Some positivity from continuity of the deformation

- Know positivity of KMS functionals for undeformed theory
- For w = 1: *n*-point functions of deformed states depend continuously on Q_0 .
- For given polynomial A_{Q_0} in deformed field (i.e. test functions and wedges to which fields belong fixed):

$$\omega(A^*_{Q_0}A_{Q_0})\geq 0$$

for $\|Q_0\| < \delta_A$.

• However: δ_A depends on choice of polynomial, minimum over all polynomials in algebra may be zero.

Subalgebras with fixed deformation matrix A special functional Deformation arguments Numerics

Numerical search for counterexamples

- Explicit knowledge of *n*-point functions makes automated search for negative expectation values possible.
- Implemented functions to calculate $\omega_{\beta}(A^*A)$ for arbitrary field polynomial; automated search for counterexamples by checking positivity of randomly generated polynomials A.
- So far: Checks up to 8-point functions (test-functions: polynomials × shifted, scaled Gaussian) No negative values encountered so far!
- Even stronger positivity property seems to be true: All discrete approximands to expectation values (integrals -> sums) positive.

Summary TODO

Summary

- Thermal states provide additional insight into models obtained by deformations.
- KMS-functionals for *-algebras generated by deformed fields can be explicitly calculated.
- On subalgebras with fixed deformation matrix these correspond to states of the undeformed algebra.
- On the whole algebra their structure is more complicated + uniqueness breaks down (function *w*).
- Positivity of the functionals is hard to decide; there is however a somewhat singular state obtained by approximations from finite volume.

Summary TODO



- Put suitable topology on *-algebra, make some of the calculations more precise.
- Determine which of the KMS functionals are positive.