## Locality in integrable QFTs characterization and explicit examples

Daniela Cadamuro

University of Göttingen

Leipzig, 23 November 2012

Partially joint work with H. Bostelmann

#### • What do I mean by "factorizing scattering models"?

- Relativistic quantum field theory on 1+1 dimensional Minkowski space
- A specific class of QFT: models with factorizing scattering matrix
- Here: not in a thermodynamical (euclidean) setting, but interested in local observables of the QFT

#### What do I mean by "factorizing scattering models"?

- Relativistic quantum field theory on 1+1 dimensional Minkowski space
- A specific class of QFT: models with factorizing scattering matrix
- Here: not in a thermodynamical (euclidean) setting, but interested in local observables of the QFT

#### Local observables

- Physical meaning of "measurements"
- Mathematically, linear bounded or unbounded operators associated with bounded regions in Minkowski space, so that operators associated with spacelike separated regions commute

## Topic

- "Old" constructive approach: form factor program
  - Aimed at constructing pointlike quantum fields in terms of their matrix elements between asymptotic scattering states
  - Construct *n*-point functions as infinite series of integrals of these matrix elements
  - Problem: show the convergence of *n*-point functions

## Topic

- "Old" constructive approach: form factor program
  - Aimed at constructing pointlike quantum fields in terms of their matrix elements between asymptotic scattering states
  - Construct *n*-point functions as infinite series of integrals of these matrix elements
  - Problem: show the convergence of *n*-point functions
- "New" constructive approach: wedge algebras (Schroer, ..., Lechner)
  - Construct observables localized in wedges (easier to handle!)
  - Study properties of matrix elements between multi-particle states of non-free fields, described by deformed creators and annihilators satisfying a deformed version of the canonical commutation relations
  - Obtain local observables associated with bounded regions as the intersection of the respective sets of local observables associated with the "right" and "left" wedges
  - Proof that this intersection of algebras is non-trivial with a very abstract argument

- Here: How to obtain more information about the explicit form of the local observables
  - Study properties of certain matrix elements of these operators
  - Find a characterization of these operators in terms of these properties

-

## Models with factorizing scattering matrix

Physical idea of the system:

- Imagine a system of spin-0 bosons of mass μ > 0 moving in 1 spatial dimension.
- Two bosons (of different speed) will scatter phase  $S(\theta_1 \theta_2)$  ( $\theta$  "rapidity") is the two-particle scattering function.
- Multi-particle scattering is just a composition of subsequent 2-particle processes ("factorizing scattering matrix").
- S = 1: free field; S = -1: Ising model

Task: Given a function *S*, construct a corresponding quantum field theory.

### Construction of QFTs with factorizing scattering matrix

The theory is constructed as a deformation of a free field.

• Zamolodchikov-Faddeev algebra:

$$\begin{aligned} z(\theta_1)z(\theta_2) &= S(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \, z(\theta_2) z(\theta_1) \,, \\ z^{\dagger}(\theta_1)z^{\dagger}(\theta_2) &= S(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \, z^{\dagger}(\theta_2) z^{\dagger}(\theta_1) \,, \\ z(\theta_1)z^{\dagger}(\theta_2) &= S(\theta_2 - \theta_1) \, z^{\dagger}(\theta_2) z(\theta_1) + \delta(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \cdot \mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

 $z(\theta), z^{\dagger}(\theta)$  "deformed" creators and annihilators. The "*S*-symmetric" Fock space carries a representation of the Poincaré group including the space-time reflection U(j).

### Construction of QFTs with factorizing scattering matrix

The theory is constructed as a deformation of a free field.

• Zamolodchikov-Faddeev algebra:

$$\begin{aligned} z(\theta_1)z(\theta_2) &= S(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \, z(\theta_2) z(\theta_1) \,, \\ z^{\dagger}(\theta_1)z^{\dagger}(\theta_2) &= S(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \, z^{\dagger}(\theta_2) z^{\dagger}(\theta_1) \,, \\ z(\theta_1)z^{\dagger}(\theta_2) &= S(\theta_2 - \theta_1) \, z^{\dagger}(\theta_2) z(\theta_1) + \delta(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \cdot \mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

 $z(\theta), z^{\dagger}(\theta)$  "deformed" creators and annihilators. The "*S*-symmetric" Fock space carries a representation of the Poincaré group including the space-time reflection U(j).

• Quantum fields: With  $\hat{f}^{\pm}(\theta) = \int d^2x \, f(x) \, \exp(\pm i p(\theta) x)$ , define

$$\phi(f) := z^{\dagger}(\hat{f}^+) + z(\hat{f}^-), \quad \phi'(f) := U(j)\phi(f^j)U(j).$$

#### Wedge-local fields

- The fields  $\phi, \phi'$  are wedge-local:
  - $\mathcal{W}:$  standard right wedge;  $\mathcal{W}':$  its causal complement (the left wedge). The fields fulfill

 $[\phi(f),\phi'(g)]=0 ext{ if supp } f\subset \mathcal{W}', ext{ supp } g\subset \mathcal{W}.$ 

• Interpretation:  $\phi(x)$  an observable measurable in the infinite region  $\mathcal{W}' + x$ .



#### Local observables?

• We pass to the associated von Neumann algebras,

$$\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{W}) = \{ \exp i\phi(f) \mid \operatorname{supp} f \subset \mathcal{W}' \}'$$

(for other wedges by covariance)

-

#### Local observables?

• We pass to the associated von Neumann algebras,

$$\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{W}) = \{ \exp i\phi(f) \mid \operatorname{supp} f \subset \mathcal{W}' \}'$$

(for other wedges by covariance)

• For the standard double cone  $\mathcal{O}_r = (\mathcal{W} - re_1) \cap (\mathcal{W}' + re_1)$ , define

$$\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O}_r) := \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{W} - re_1) \cap \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{W}' + re_1)$$

• This gives a consistent, covariant local net of algebras.

#### Local observables?

We pass to the associated von Neumann algebras,

$$\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{W}) = \{ \exp i\phi(f) \mid \operatorname{supp} f \subset \mathcal{W}' \}'$$

(for other wedges by covariance)

• For the standard double cone  $\mathcal{O}_r = (\mathcal{W} - re_1) \cap (\mathcal{W}' + re_1)$ , define

$$\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O}_r) := \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{W} - re_1) \cap \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{W}' + re_1)$$

- This gives a consistent, covariant local net of algebras.
- Are there any observables localized in bounded regions (double cones)?
  - The fields  $\phi(f)$ ,  $\phi'(f)$  are not (except S = 1)
  - Polynomials of the fields are not (except certain polynomials in S = -1)
  - Must take limits of power series in order to obtain local operators.

#### Size of local algebras

- Is the intersection  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O}_r)$  non-trivial?
  - Result (Lechner 2006): The vacuum is cyclic for  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O}_r)$ .
  - Uses a very abstract argument called "modular nuclearity condition" (analytic condition on the scattering function) and split property for wedge algebra inclusions.
  - This is enough to do scattering theory and compute the S matrix.
  - However, it does not give us explicit examples.



#### Araki's expansion

Araki's expansion for the free scalar Bose field (S = 1):

Every quadratic form *A* on Fock space (and therefore bounded and unbounded operators, as well) of a certain regularity class can be expanded as

$$A = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \int \frac{d^m \theta d^n \eta}{m! n!} f_{mn}(\theta, \eta) a^{\dagger}(\theta_1) \dots a^{\dagger}(\theta_m) a(\eta_1) \dots a(\eta_n)$$

Coefficient functions f<sub>mn</sub> are given by

$$f_{mn}(\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{\eta}) = \left(\Omega, [\boldsymbol{a}(\theta_1),\ldots,[\boldsymbol{a}(\theta_m),[\boldsymbol{a}^{\dagger}(\eta_1),\ldots,[\boldsymbol{a}^{\dagger}(\eta_n),\boldsymbol{A}]\ldots]\Omega\right).$$

### Araki's expansion

Araki's expansion for the free scalar Bose field (S = 1):

Every quadratic form *A* on Fock space (and therefore bounded and unbounded operators, as well) of a certain regularity class can be expanded as

$$A = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \int \frac{d^m \theta d^n \eta}{m! n!} f_{mn}(\theta, \eta) a^{\dagger}(\theta_1) \dots a^{\dagger}(\theta_m) a(\eta_1) \dots a(\eta_n)$$

Coefficient functions f<sub>mn</sub> are given by

$$f_{mn}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\eta}) = \left(\Omega, [\boldsymbol{a}(\theta_1), \dots, [\boldsymbol{a}(\theta_m), [\boldsymbol{a}^{\dagger}(\eta_1), \dots, [\boldsymbol{a}^{\dagger}(\eta_n), \boldsymbol{A}] \dots] \Omega\right).$$

What if *A* is localized in the standard double cone  $\mathcal{O}_r$ ? [ $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_r)$ ]

- Write  $a, a^{\dagger}$  as Fourier transforms of time-zero fields  $\phi, \pi$ .
- Basically, *f<sub>mn</sub>* become Fourier transforms of functions of compact support.

- E - - E -

#### Characterization of local operators in the free field

$$f_{mn}(\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{\eta}) = \left(\Omega, [\boldsymbol{a}(\theta_1),\ldots,[\boldsymbol{a}(\theta_m),[\boldsymbol{a}^{\dagger}(\eta_1),\ldots,[\boldsymbol{a}^{\dagger}(\eta_n),\boldsymbol{A}]\ldots]\Omega\right).$$

If *A* is local in  $\mathcal{O}_r$ , then there exist entire functions  $F_k : \mathbb{C}^k \to \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$f_{mn}(\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{\eta}) = F_{m+n}(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_m,\eta_1+i\pi,\ldots,\eta_n+i\pi).$$

#### Characterization of local operators in the free field

$$f_{mn}(\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{\eta}) = \left(\Omega, [\boldsymbol{a}(\theta_1),\ldots,[\boldsymbol{a}(\theta_m),[\boldsymbol{a}^{\dagger}(\eta_1),\ldots,[\boldsymbol{a}^{\dagger}(\eta_n),\boldsymbol{A}]\ldots]\Omega\right).$$

If A is local in  $\mathcal{O}_r$ , then there exist entire functions  $F_k : \mathbb{C}^k \to \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$f_{mn}(\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{\eta}) = F_{m+n}(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_m,\eta_1+i\pi,\ldots,\eta_n+i\pi).$$

The  $F_k$  have the properties:

- They are symmetric in their arguments (due to the CCR),
- They are  $2i\pi$ -periodic in each argument (due to  $p(\theta)$  being periodic),
- They fulfill certain, *r*-dependent bounds in imaginary directions (Paley-Wiener).

These conditions are "if and only if" on the level of quadratic forms (remark on operator domains later).

11/25

#### Generalization of Araki's expansion

In our interacting models ( $S \neq 1$ ), we can expand every quadratic form of a certain regularity class as

$$A = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \int \frac{d^m \theta d^n \eta}{m! n!} f_{mn}(\theta,\eta) z^{\dagger m}(\theta) z^n(\eta).$$

#### Generalization of Araki's expansion

In our interacting models ( $S \neq 1$ ), we can expand every quadratic form of a certain regularity class as

$$A = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \int \frac{d^m \theta d^n \eta}{m! n!} f_{mn}(\theta,\eta) z^{\dagger m}(\theta) z^n(\eta).$$

- What are the coefficient functions *f<sub>mn</sub>*?
  - General formula in terms of contracted matrix elements of A

$$f_{m,n}^{[\mathcal{A}]} := \sum_{\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{C}_{m,n}} (-1)^{|\mathcal{C}|} \delta_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{C}}( heta, \eta) \langle \ell_{\mathcal{C}}( heta), \mathsf{Ar}_{\mathcal{C}}(\eta) 
angle$$

 For special S-matrices (Lechner and Grosse 2007) a commutator formula from above works with a, a<sup>†</sup> replaced by z, z<sup>†</sup> and with [·, ·] replaced by a certain "deformed commutator" [·, ·]<sub>S</sub>.

### Generalization of Araki's expansion

In our interacting models ( $S \neq 1$ ), we can expand every quadratic form of a certain regularity class as

$$A = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \int \frac{d^m \theta d^n \eta}{m! n!} f_{mn}(\theta,\eta) z^{\dagger m}(\theta) z^n(\eta).$$

- What are the coefficient functions f<sub>mn</sub>?
  - General formula in terms of contracted matrix elements of A

$$f_{m,n}^{[\mathcal{A}]} := \sum_{\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{C}_{m,n}} (-1)^{|\mathcal{C}|} \delta_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{C}}( heta, \eta) \langle \ell_{\mathcal{C}}( heta), \mathsf{Ar}_{\mathcal{C}}(\eta) 
angle$$

- For special S-matrices (Lechner and Grosse 2007) a commutator formula from above works with a, a<sup>†</sup> replaced by z, z<sup>†</sup> and with [·, ·] replaced by a certain "deformed commutator" [·, ·]<sub>S</sub>.
- We can compute the effect of
  - Poincaré transformations,
  - space-time reflections

on the coefficients  $f_{mn}$ .

If the quadratic form *A* is local in  $\mathcal{O}_r$ , then there exist meromorphic functions  $F_k : \mathbb{C}^k \to \mathbb{C}$  such that

 $f_{mn}(\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{\eta}) = F_{m+n}(\theta_1 + i0,\ldots,\theta_m + i0,\eta_1 + i\pi - i0,\ldots,\eta_n + i\pi - i0).$ 

If the quadratic form *A* is local in  $\mathcal{O}_r$ , then there exist meromorphic functions  $F_k : \mathbb{C}^k \to \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$f_{mn}(\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{\eta})=F_{m+n}(\theta_1+i\boldsymbol{0},\ldots,\theta_m+i\boldsymbol{0},\eta_1+i\pi-i\boldsymbol{0},\ldots,\eta_n+i\pi-i\boldsymbol{0}).$$

The  $F_k$  have the properties (similar to Schroer/Wiesbrock 2000):

• They are S-symmetric:  $F_k(\ldots \zeta_j, \zeta_{j+1}, \ldots) =$ \$ $F_k(\ldots \zeta_{j+1}, \zeta_j, \ldots)$ .

13/25

If the quadratic form *A* is local in  $\mathcal{O}_r$ , then there exist meromorphic functions  $F_k : \mathbb{C}^k \to \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$f_{mn}(\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{\eta})=F_{m+n}(\theta_1+i\boldsymbol{0},\ldots,\theta_m+i\boldsymbol{0},\eta_1+i\pi-i\boldsymbol{0},\ldots,\eta_n+i\pi-i\boldsymbol{0}).$$

The  $F_k$  have the properties (similar to Schroer/Wiesbrock 2000):

- They are *S*-symmetric:  $F_k(\ldots \zeta_j, \zeta_{j+1}, \ldots) =$ *\$F<sub>k</sub>(\ldots \zeta\_{j+1}, \zeta\_j, \ldots)*.
- They are S-periodic:  $F_k(\ldots \zeta_j + 2i\pi \ldots) = \$F_k(\ldots \zeta_j, \ldots)$ .

13/25

If the quadratic form *A* is local in  $\mathcal{O}_r$ , then there exist meromorphic functions  $F_k : \mathbb{C}^k \to \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$f_{mn}(\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{\eta})=F_{m+n}(\theta_1+i\boldsymbol{0},\ldots,\theta_m+i\boldsymbol{0},\eta_1+i\pi-i\boldsymbol{0},\ldots,\eta_n+i\pi-i\boldsymbol{0}).$$

- They are S-symmetric:  $F_k(\ldots \zeta_j, \zeta_{j+1}, \ldots) =$ \$ $F_k(\ldots \zeta_{j+1}, \zeta_j, \ldots)$ .
- They are S-periodic:  $F_k(\ldots \zeta_j + 2i\pi \ldots) =$ \$ $F_k(\ldots \zeta_j, \ldots)$ .
- They have first-order poles at  $\zeta_j \zeta_\ell = i\pi$ , with  $\operatorname{Res}_{\zeta_j \zeta_\ell = i\pi} F_k(\zeta) = \mathfrak{F}(1 \mathfrak{F})F_{k-2}(\hat{\zeta}).$

If the quadratic form *A* is local in  $\mathcal{O}_r$ , then there exist meromorphic functions  $F_k : \mathbb{C}^k \to \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$f_{mn}(\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{\eta})=F_{m+n}(\theta_1+i\boldsymbol{0},\ldots,\theta_m+i\boldsymbol{0},\eta_1+i\pi-i\boldsymbol{0},\ldots,\eta_n+i\pi-i\boldsymbol{0}).$$

- They are S-symmetric:  $F_k(\ldots \zeta_j, \zeta_{j+1}, \ldots) =$ \$ $F_k(\ldots \zeta_{j+1}, \zeta_j, \ldots)$ .
- They are S-periodic:  $F_k(\ldots \zeta_j + 2i\pi \ldots) =$ \$ $F_k(\ldots \zeta_j, \ldots)$ .
- They have first-order poles at  $\zeta_j \zeta_\ell = i\pi$ , with Res $_{\zeta_j - \zeta_\ell = i\pi} F_k(\zeta) = \mathcal{F}(1 - \mathcal{F})F_{k-2}(\hat{\zeta}).$
- They fulfill certain  $L^2$ -like bounds at the points Im  $\boldsymbol{\zeta} = (0, \dots, 0, \pi, \dots, \pi)$ , Im  $\boldsymbol{\zeta} = (-\pi, \dots, -\pi, 0, \dots, 0)$ .

If the quadratic form *A* is local in  $\mathcal{O}_r$ , then there exist meromorphic functions  $F_k : \mathbb{C}^k \to \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$f_{mn}(\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{\eta})=F_{m+n}(\theta_1+i\boldsymbol{0},\ldots,\theta_m+i\boldsymbol{0},\eta_1+i\pi-i\boldsymbol{0},\ldots,\eta_n+i\pi-i\boldsymbol{0}).$$

- They are S-symmetric:  $F_k(\ldots \zeta_j, \zeta_{j+1}, \ldots) =$ \$ $F_k(\ldots \zeta_{j+1}, \zeta_j, \ldots)$ .
- They are S-periodic:  $F_k(\ldots \zeta_j + 2i\pi \ldots) =$ \$ $F_k(\ldots \zeta_j, \ldots)$ .
- They have first-order poles at  $\zeta_j \zeta_\ell = i\pi$ , with  $\operatorname{Res}_{\zeta_j \zeta_\ell = i\pi} F_k(\zeta) = \mathfrak{F}(1 \mathfrak{F})F_{k-2}(\hat{\zeta}).$
- They fulfill certain  $L^2$ -like bounds at the points Im  $\boldsymbol{\zeta} = (0, \dots, 0, \pi, \dots, \pi)$ , Im  $\boldsymbol{\zeta} = (-\pi, \dots, -\pi, 0, \dots, 0)$ .
- They fulfil certain *r*-dependent bounds in imaginary directions (somewhat like Paley-Wiener).

If the quadratic form *A* is local in  $\mathcal{O}_r$ , then there exist meromorphic functions  $F_k : \mathbb{C}^k \to \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$f_{mn}(\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{\eta})=F_{m+n}(\theta_1+i\boldsymbol{0},\ldots,\theta_m+i\boldsymbol{0},\eta_1+i\pi-i\boldsymbol{0},\ldots,\eta_n+i\pi-i\boldsymbol{0}).$$

The  $F_k$  have the properties (similar to Schroer/Wiesbrock 2000):

- They are S-symmetric:  $F_k(\ldots \zeta_j, \zeta_{j+1}, \ldots) =$ \$ $F_k(\ldots \zeta_{j+1}, \zeta_j, \ldots)$ .
- They are S-periodic:  $F_k(\ldots \zeta_j + 2i\pi \ldots) =$ \$ $F_k(\ldots \zeta_j, \ldots)$ .
- They have first-order poles at  $\zeta_j \zeta_\ell = i\pi$ , with Res $_{\zeta_j - \zeta_\ell = i\pi} F_k(\zeta) = \mathcal{F}(1 - \mathcal{F})F_{k-2}(\hat{\zeta}).$
- They fulfill certain  $L^2$ -like bounds at the points Im  $\boldsymbol{\zeta} = (0, \dots, 0, \pi, \dots, \pi)$ , Im  $\boldsymbol{\zeta} = (-\pi, \dots, -\pi, 0, \dots, 0)$ .
- They fulfil certain *r*-dependent bounds in imaginary directions (somewhat like Paley-Wiener).

Again, these conditions are "if and only if" on the level of quadratic forms (remark on operator domains later).

#### How to obtain the equivalence

Very brief sketch!

표 🖌 🔺 표

### How to obtain the equivalence

Very brief sketch!

- From local A to meromorphic F<sub>k</sub>:
  - We know from Lechner (2008) that the *f<sub>mn</sub>*[*A*] have an analytic continuation to a certain domain, due to wedge-locality of *A*.
  - $U(j)A^*U(j)$  is wedge-local as well (A local in the opposite wedge), so  $f_{mn}[U(j)A^*U(j)]$  extends to another analytic function.
  - Stitch these together to obtain  $F_k$ .





2

< ロ > < 四 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > 、



æ

ヘロト 人間 とくほとく ほとう





### How to obtain the equivalence

- From meromorphic *F<sub>k</sub>* to local *A*:
  - Show that A = ∑<sub>mn</sub> ∫ F<sub>m+n</sub>(...)z<sup>+m</sup>z<sup>n</sup> is local in a shifted left wedge (compute commutator with φ'(f); shift integral contours; use bounds on F<sub>k</sub>)
  - Show that  $U(j)A^*U(j)$  is given by  $F_k(\cdot + i\pi)$ ; this involves periodicity and value of residues.
  - $F_k(\cdot + i\pi)$  fulfills the same bounds, thus *A* is local in a shifted right wedge.

- Question is "convergence of the series" note that it's infinite in general, since Res F<sub>k</sub> ~ F<sub>k-2</sub>.
- Smeared annihilators/creators are unbounded; impossible to read off ||A|| from estimates for F<sub>k</sub>.

- Question is "convergence of the series" note that it's infinite in general, since Res F<sub>k</sub> ~ F<sub>k-2</sub>.
- Smeared annihilators/creators are unbounded; impossible to read off ||A|| from estimates for F<sub>k</sub>.

- Question is "convergence of the series" note that it's infinite in general, since Res F<sub>k</sub> ~ F<sub>k-2</sub>.
- Smeared annihilators/creators are unbounded; impossible to read off ||A|| from estimates for F<sub>k</sub>.

- The Araki expansion works naturally on quadratic forms A.
  - Matrix elements  $(\psi, A\chi)$  are well defined,  $\psi, \chi \in \mathcal{H}^{\omega, f}$  (finite particle number vectors).
  - The expansion series becomes a finite sum in matrix elements.

- Question is "convergence of the series" note that it's infinite in general, since Res F<sub>k</sub> ~ F<sub>k-2</sub>.
- Smeared annihilators/creators are unbounded; impossible to read off ||A|| from estimates for F<sub>k</sub>.

- The Araki expansion works naturally on quadratic forms A.
  - Matrix elements  $(\psi, A\chi)$  are well defined,  $\psi, \chi \in \mathcal{H}^{\omega, f}$  (finite particle number vectors).
  - The expansion series becomes a finite sum in matrix elements.
- 2 We have a full converse of the characterization.

- Question is "convergence of the series" note that it's infinite in general, since Res F<sub>k</sub> ~ F<sub>k-2</sub>.
- Smeared annihilators/creators are unbounded; impossible to read off ||A|| from estimates for F<sub>k</sub>.

- The Araki expansion works naturally on quadratic forms A.
  - Matrix elements  $(\psi, A\chi)$  are well defined,  $\psi, \chi \in \mathcal{H}^{\omega, f}$  (finite particle number vectors).
  - The expansion series becomes a finite sum in matrix elements.
- 2 We have a full converse of the characterization.
- 3 Allows to include local fields from the form factor programme,  $\phi_{\text{local}}(f)$ , which are unbounded.

We consider quadratic forms of a certian "regularity class" ( $Q^{\omega}$ ), where the singular behaviour of *A* is somehow "controlled":

$$\|A\|_{k}^{\omega} = \frac{1}{2} \|Q_{k}Ae^{-\omega(H/\mu)}Q_{k}\| + \frac{1}{2} \|Q_{k}e^{-\omega(H/\mu)}AQ_{k}\| < \infty$$

 $\omega$  is a function of energy of suitable growth.

We need a notion of locality adapted to quadratic forms.

We consider quadratic forms of a certian "regularity class" ( $Q^{\omega}$ ), where the singular behaviour of *A* is somehow "controlled":

$$\|A\|_{k}^{\omega} = \frac{1}{2} \|Q_{k}Ae^{-\omega(H/\mu)}Q_{k}\| + \frac{1}{2} \|Q_{k}e^{-\omega(H/\mu)}AQ_{k}\| < \infty$$

 $\omega$  is a function of energy of suitable growth. We need a notion of locality adapted to quadratic forms.

#### Definition

 ${\it A} \in {\cal Q}^\omega$  is called  $\omega$ -local in the standard wedge  ${\cal W}$  iff

 $(\phi(f)^*\psi, A\chi) = (\psi, A\phi(f)\chi)$  whenever  $f \in \mathcal{D}^{\omega}(\mathcal{W}')$ ;  $\psi, \chi \in \mathcal{H}^{\omega, \mathrm{f}}$ .

 $\omega\text{-locality}$  in other wedges and double cones is defined correspondingly.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 三国

We consider quadratic forms of a certian "regularity class" ( $Q^{\omega}$ ), where the singular behaviour of *A* is somehow "controlled":

$$\|A\|_{k}^{\omega} = \frac{1}{2} \|Q_{k}Ae^{-\omega(H/\mu)}Q_{k}\| + \frac{1}{2} \|Q_{k}e^{-\omega(H/\mu)}AQ_{k}\| < \infty$$

 $\omega$  is a function of energy of suitable growth. We need a notion of locality adapted to quadratic forms.

#### Definition

 ${\it A}\in {\cal Q}^\omega$  is called  $\omega$ -local in the standard wedge  ${\cal W}$  iff

 $(\phi(f)^*\psi, A\chi) = (\psi, A\phi(f)\chi)$  whenever  $f \in \mathcal{D}^{\omega}(\mathcal{W}'); \ \psi, \chi \in \mathcal{H}^{\omega, \mathrm{f}}.$ 

 $\omega\text{-locality}$  in other wedges and double cones is defined correspondingly.

So, our characterization actually works on the level of  $\omega$ -local quadratic forms.

▶ < 프 > < 프 > · · 프

#### Weak locality vs. locality / Quadratic forms vs. operators

- The characterization theorem works best for  $\omega$ -local quadratic forms.
- For QFT, we want operators which are local in the usual sense.
- Relation between these notions?

### Weak locality vs. locality / Quadratic forms vs. operators

- The characterization theorem works best for  $\omega$ -local quadratic forms.
- For QFT, we want operators which are local in the usual sense.
- Relation between these notions?

#### Lemma

Let A be a closed operator with core  $\mathcal{H}^{\omega, \mathrm{f}}$  such that  $\mathcal{H}^{\omega, \mathrm{f}} \subset \text{dom } A^*$ . Suppose that

$$orall g\in\mathcal{D}^\omega_\mathbb{R}(\mathbb{R}^2): \; \exp(i\phi(g)^-)\mathcal{H}^{\omega,\mathrm{f}}\subset \mathsf{dom}\,\mathcal{A}.$$

Then A is  $\omega$ -local in  $\mathcal{O}_r$  iff it is affiliated with  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_r)$ .

(1)

### Weak locality vs. locality / Quadratic forms vs. operators

#### Lemma

Let  $A \in Q^{\omega}$ . Suppose that

$$\sum_{n,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{(m+n)/2}}{\sqrt{m!n!}} \|f_{m,n}^{[A]}\|_{m\times n}^{\omega} < \infty.$$

Then, A extends to a closed operator  $A^-$  with core  $\mathcal{H}^{\omega, \mathrm{f}}$ ; one has  $\mathcal{H}^{\omega, \mathrm{f}} \subset \mathrm{dom}(A^-)^*$ . Also, the condition (1) is fulfilled by  $A^-$ .

n

#### Examples

• Buchholz-Summers type: Let  $F_k = 0$  for  $k \neq 2$ , we set

$${\sf F}_2(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)=\sinh\left(rac{\zeta_1-\zeta_2}{2}
ight)\, ilde{g}(\mu{\sf E}(oldsymbol{\zeta})),$$

where  $\tilde{g}$  is the Fourier transform of a function  $g \in \mathcal{D}(-r, r)$  for some r > 0, with  $\omega(p) := \ell \log(1 + p)$ ,  $\ell$  sufficiently large.

Schroer-Truong type:

Let  $g\in\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}),$   $g\in\mathcal{S}_{\omega}$  with  $\omega(p)=p^{lpha},$  1/3 <lpha< 1. We set ( $k\in\mathbb{N}_{0}$ )

$$F_{2k+1}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{(4\pi i)^k k!} \tilde{g}(\mu E(\zeta)) \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2k+1}} \operatorname{sign} \sigma \prod_{j=1}^k \tanh \frac{\zeta_{\sigma(2j-1)} - \zeta_{\sigma(2j)}}{2},$$

with  $F_{2k} = 0$  for any k.

#### **Results & Open Questions**

#### **Results:**

- In factorizing scattering models, there is an analogue to the Araki expansion.
- $\omega$ -locality of quadratic forms can be characterized by analyticity and boundedness properties of the expansion coefficients.
- We can extend the quadratic forms to closed, possibly unbounded, operators affiliated with the local algebras of bounded operators.

#### **Results & Open Questions**

#### **Results:**

- In factorizing scattering models, there is an analogue to the Araki expansion.
- $\omega$ -locality of quadratic forms can be characterized by analyticity and boundedness properties of the expansion coefficients.
- We can extend the quadratic forms to closed, possibly unbounded, operators affiliated with the local algebras of bounded operators.

#### Open questions:

- Investigate examples for general S.
- Show expansion of all local operators into (interacting) pointlike objects.
- Generalize our analysis to arbitrary spacetime dimensions, to a richer particle spectrum, to theories where the scattering function can have poles on the physical strip,...

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト